ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

ARVIN, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSITION 39 ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A, AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS

JUNE 30, 2018

AUDIT REPORT
AND
PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

PREPARED BY

LINGER, PETERSON, & SHRUM CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS



ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39

ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A, AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS TABLE OF CONTENTS

JUNE 30, 2018

FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report (Financial Statement)	1-2
Balance SheetBuilding Fund	3
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund BalanceBuilding Fund	4
Notes to the Financial Statements	5-11
OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	13-14
PERFORMANCE AUDIT	
Independent Auditor's Report (Performance)	16
Executive Summary	17
Background Information	17-18
Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee	18
Objectives	18
Scope of the Audit	19
Procedures Performed	19
Conclusion	20

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS SECTION	<u>Page</u>
Schedule of Findings and Responses	22
Summary Schedule of Prior Findings	23





INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (FINANCIAL STATEMENT)

Board of Trustees Arvin Union School District Arvin, California:

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Building Fund of the Arvin Union School District, as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor's consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Unmodified Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Building Fund of the Arvin Union School District, as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, as of June 30, 2018, and the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1A, the financial statements present only the activity of the Building Fund of the Arvin Union School District, as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds General Obligation Bonds, as of June 30, 2018, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and the results of operations of the Arvin Union School District in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Respectfully submitted,

Linger, Peterson & Shrum

Linger, Peterson & Shum

December 21, 2018

Fresno, California

ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39 ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS BALANCE SHEET--BUILDING FUND JUNE 30, 2018

Assets

Cash in County Treasury	\$ 332,325	
Accounts Receivable	2,145	
Due from Other Funds	 263,567	
Total Assets		598,037
Liabilities		
Acounts Payable	288,722	
Total Liabilities		288,722
Fund Balance		\$ 309,315

ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39 ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE-BUILDING FUND YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

Revenues Other Local Revenues 45,158 **Total Revenues** 45,158 **Expenditures Plant Services** 12,021 **Capital Outlay** 2,840,819 **Total Expenditures** 2,852,840 **Excess of Revenues over Expenditures** (2,807,682)Fund Balance, July 1, 2017 3,116,997

309,315

Fund Balance, June 30, 2018

1. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Reporting Entity

On March 2, 2004, the Arvin Union School District (the "District") voters authorized issuance of General Obligation Bonds, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$11,000,000, to be used for the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities.

On November 4, 2014, the Arvin Union School District (the "District") voters authorized issuance of General Obligation Bonds, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$15,000,000, to be used for the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities.

The Bond proceeds and uses are accounted for in the District's Building Fund. The statements presented are for the Building Fund, as it pertains to the Election of 2004 and 2014, Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, and are not intended to be a complete presentation of the District's financial position or results of operations.

B. Accounting Policies

The Arvin Union School District accounts for its financial transactions in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Department of Education's *California School Accounting Manual*. The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA).

C. Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to the timing of when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements.

The Arvin Union School District accounts for Bond proceeds and expenditures using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recorded when susceptible to accrual; both measurable and available. "Available" means collectible within the current period or within 60 days after year-end. Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related liability is incurred.

D. Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is used in all budgeted funds to reserve portions of applicable appropriations for which commitments have been made. Encumbrances are recorded for purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments when they are written. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid. All encumbrances are liquidated as of June 30, 2018.

E. <u>Use of Estimates</u>

The preparation of financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

2. CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash and investments as of June 30, 2018 are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Cash in county treasury

\$332,325

A. Cash in County Treasury

In accordance with Education Code Section 41001, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the County Treasury as part of a common investment pool (\$332,325 as of June 30, 2018). The fair market value of this investment pool as of that date, as provided by the pool sponsor, was \$332,325. The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in the external investment pool. Interest is deposited into

participating funds. The county is restricted by Government Code Section 53635, pursuant to Section 53601, to invest in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements.

B. Investments

1. <u>Investments Authorized by the California Government</u> Code and the District's Investment Policy

The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for the District by the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive). The table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code (or the District's investment policy, where more restrictive) that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

		ximum M	aximum
Authorized Max	imum Perd	centage Pe	rcentage
<u>Investment Type</u> <u>Ma</u>	turity of P	<u>ortfolio</u> <u>in C</u>	ne Issuer
Local Agency Bonds 5 y	years N	lone	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations 5 y	years N	lone	None
U.S. Agency Securities 5 y	years N	lone	None
Banker's Acceptances 180) days N	lone	None
Commercial Paper 270) days N	lone	None
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit 5 y	years N	lone	None
Repurchase Agreements 1	year N	lone	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements 92	days N	lone	None
Medium-Term Notes 5 y	years N	lone	None
Mutual Funds	N/A N	lone	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A N	lone	None
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities 5 y	years N	lone	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A 1	.00%	100%
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A N	lone	None

2. Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements

Investment of debt proceeds held by bond trustees are governed by provisions of the debt agreements, rather than the general provisions of the California Government Code or the District's investment policy. The schedule below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by bond trustees. The schedule also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements that address interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk.

		Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Maximum	Percentage	Percentage
Investment Type	<u>Maturity</u>	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Obligations	None	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	None	None	None
Banker's Acceptances	180 days	None	None
Commercial Paper	270 days	None	None
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	None	None
Investment Contracts	30 years	None	None

3. <u>Disclosures Relating to Interest Rate Risk</u>

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District's investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the District's investments by maturity.

		Remaining Maturity (in Months)				
			12 Months	13 to 24	25 to 60	More Than
	Investment Type	Amount	or Less	Months	Months	60 Months
Cour	nty Investment Pool	\$ 332,325	\$ 332,32	.5 \$	- \$ -	\$ -

4. <u>Disclosures Relating to Credit Risk</u>

Generally, credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the minimum rating required by (where applicable) the California Government Code, the District's investment policy, and the actual rating as of year end for each investment type. The column marked "exempt from disclosure" identifies those investment types for which GASB No. 40 does not require disclosure as to credit risk:

		Minimum	Exempt _	Ratir	ng as of	Year End
Investment		Legal	From			Not
<u>Type</u>	Amount	Rating	<u>Disclosure</u>	AAA	AA	<u>Rated</u>
County Investment Pool	\$ 332,325	N/A	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 332,325

5. Disclosures Relating to Fair Value Measurement

The District categorizes its fair value measurements within the fair value hierarchy established by generally accepted accounting principles. The hierarchy is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the asset. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. All of the District's investments are valued using quoted market prices (Level 1 inputs).

Further, the District holds a position in an external investment pool. The unit of account is each share held, and the value of the position would be the fair value of the pool's share price multiplied by the number of shares held. The government-investor does not "look through" the pool to report a pro rata share of the pool's investments, receivables, and payables.

3. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

A. General Obligation Bonds

A general election was held on March 2, 2004, at which more than 55% of the persons voting on the Proposition voted to authorize the issuance of \$11,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds of the District to finance real property and improvements to be used in the public education operations of the District.

A general election was held on November 4, 2014, at which more than 55% of the persons voting on the Proposition voted to authorize the issuance of \$15,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds of the District to finance real property and improvements to be used in the public education operations of the District.

B. Arbitrage

As part of tax laws surrounding Tax-Exempt Bonds, investment earnings, with certain adjustments, on unexpended Bond proceeds are limited to the interest rate paid on the Bond debt. Arbitrage (i.e. excess investment earnings) is required to be rebated to the federal government every five years for as long as the Bonds are outstanding. At June 30, 2018, no arbitrage liability was deemed necessary.

4. <u>CITIZENS' BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE</u>

The Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee (the "Committee") was established to satisfy the accountability requirements of Proposition 39. The Committee shall confine itself specifically to Bond proceeds generated under the ballot measure. To carry out its stated purposes, the Committee shall: a) Inform the public regarding Bond issues; b) Review expenditures to ensure that Bond proceeds are expended only for the purposes set forth in the ballot measure, and that no Bond proceeds are used for any teacher or administrative salaries or other operating expenses of the District; c) Present to the Board, in public session, an annual written report. The report shall indicate whether the District is in compliance with the applicable requirements, and shall include a summary of the Committee's proceedings and activities for the preceding year.

5. **SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The District's management evaluated its June 30, 2018 financial statements for subsequent events through December 21, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Management is not aware of any subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements.

OTHER INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees Arvin Union School District Arvin, California:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Building Fund of the Arvin Union School District (the District), as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2018.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control), as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of District's internal control as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's Building Fund financial statements, as it pertains to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's Building Fund financial statements, as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's Building Fund internal control or on its compliance as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the internal control and compliance of the District's Building Fund as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Linger, Peterson & Shrum

December 21, 2018

Fresno, California

PERFORMANCE AUDIT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT (PERFORMANCE)

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Arvin Union School District Arvin, California:

We have conducted a performance audit of the Building Fund of the Arvin Union School District (the "District"), as it pertains to the Proposition 39, Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds, for the year ended June 30, 2018.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on Page 18 of this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Election of Series 2015A and 2017B General Obligation Bonds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution and Proposition 39 as they apply to the Bonds and the net proceeds thereof. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal control of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District expended the Election of Series 2004A, 2008, 2015A, and 2017B General Obligation Bonds funds for the year ended June 30, 2018 only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees and approved by the voters, in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

Linger, Peterson & Shrum December 21, 2018

Linger, Peterson & Shum

Fresno, California

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On March 2, 2004, the District voters authorized \$11,000,000 in General Obligation Bonds to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities, and to pay certain costs of issuance associated therewith.

On November 4, 2014, the District voters authorized \$15,000,000 in General Obligation Bonds to be used to finance the construction, acquisition, furnishing and equipping of District facilities, and to pay certain costs of issuance associated therewith.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Election of 2017, Series B Bonds, in the principal amount of \$3,500,000 are General Obligation Bonds issued under provisions of Title 1, Division 1, Part 10, Chapter 1 of the State of California Education Code, commencing with Section 15100, and pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on November 8, 2016

The Bonds are the first and second series of an authorization of was approved by District voters on November 6, 2012 (Measure E). After the issuance of Proposition 39, Election of 2012, Series A & B, General Obligation Bonds.

The District received authorization for the issuance and sale of not more than \$15,000,000 of General Obligation Bonds at an election held on November 4, 2014, by an affirmative vote of 79.46% of the votes cast. A 55% vote in favor was required. The Proposition 39, Election of 2012, Series A & B General Obligation Bonds represent the first and second series to be issued under the 2012 authorization.

Net proceeds of Proposition 39, Election of 2012, Series A & B General Obligation Bonds are authorized to be used for the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of District facilities, and to pay certain costs of issuance associated therewith. Net proceeds of Proposition 39, Election of 2012, Series A & B General Obligation Bonds are authorized to be used to prepare for future growth and provide facilities that best serve the students and community, as specified in the projects list.

The Election of 2015, Series A Bonds, in the principal amount of \$6,975,000, are General Obligation Bonds issued under provisions of Title 1, Part 10, Chapter 1 of the State of California Education Code, commencing with section 15100, and pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District. For this issuance of \$6,975,000 in General Obligation Bonds, all are Current Interest Bonds.

The Bonds are the first series of an authorization of \$6,975,000 approved by District voters on November 8, 2016.

The District received authorization for the issuance and sale of not more than \$6,975,000 of General Obligation Bonds at an election held on November 8, 2016, by an affirmative vote of 70.02% of the votes cast. A 55% vote in favor was required. The Proposition 39, Election of 2016, Series A General Obligation Bonds represent the first series to be issued under the 2016 authorization.

CITIZENS' BOND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

The Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee was established to satisfy the accountability requirements of Proposition 39. The Committee shall confine itself specifically to Bond proceeds generated under the ballot measure. The Committee consists of a minimum of seven (7) members appointed by the Board of Trustees from a list of candidates submitting written applications. The members can serve for a term of two (2) years without compensation, and for no more than two (2) consecutive terms. The members were chosen based on criteria established by Proposition 39. The Committee is required to meet at least once a year. The stated general purposes of the Committee are to: 1) Inform the public; 2) Review expenditures; 3) Present to the Board an annual written report.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives of our Performance Audit were to:

Determine if expenditures charged to the District's Building Fund as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of 2012, Series A & B and 2016, Series A General Obligation Bonds.

Determine whether expenditures charged to the Building Fund as they pertain to Proposition 39, Election of Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B General Obligation Bonds, have been made in accordance with the Bond project list approved by the voters through approval of Measure E in November, 2014.

Note any incongruities, system weakness, or non-compliance with specific Education Code Sections related to Bond oversight, and provide recommendations for improvement.

Provide the District Board and the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of this performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018. The sample of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the Bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the Bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2018, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 for the Building Fund Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B. Within the period audited, we obtained actual invoices and other supporting documentation for expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B with regards to the approved Bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

Reviewed the projects listed to be funded with General Obligation Bond proceeds as set out in the Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B election documents.

Selected a sample of expenditures for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the authorized Bond projects. Our sample included transactions totaling \$2,029,647, which represented 71% of total expenditures.

We verified that funds from the Building Fund Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B were used for the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of District facilities constituting the authorized Bond projects. In addition, we verified that funds held in the Building Fund Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B were not used for salaries unless those salaries were only for work that would not exist but for the existence of the construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

Note any incongruities, system weakness, or non-compliance with specific Education Code Sections related to Bond oversight, and provide recommendations for improvement.

Provide the District Board and the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee with a performance audit as required under the requirements of the California Constitution and Proposition 39.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the Building Fund Measure E Series 2015A and Measure E Series 2017B and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. Further, it was noted that none of the funds held in the Building Fund were used for salaries.

FINDINGS	AND RE	COMMEN	IDATIONS	SECTION

ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39

ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A, AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Financial Statements	
Type of Auditor's Report issued:	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting:	
Material weakness identified?	No
Significant deficiency identified not considered to be a material weakness?	None reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No
Performance Audit	
Type of Auditor's Report issued:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed?	No

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

This section identifies the significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, and instances of noncompliance related to the financial statements that are required to be reported in accordance with paragraphs 5.18 through 5.20 of *Government Auditing Standards*.

There were no Financial Statement findings or responses.

SECTION III - PERFORMANCE FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

There were no Performance findings or responses.

ARVIN UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT PROPOSITION 39 ELECTION OF SERIES 2004A, 2008, 2015A, AND 2017B GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2018

There were no prior year findings or responses.